# IRRS Good Practices

# Emergency preparedness and response – regulatory aspects (Module 10)

Roles of the Regulatory Body in a nuclear or radiological emergency

# Luxembourg – Initial Mission

Mission Date: June 2018

#### Good Practice

The IRRS team acknowledged the strong integration of the radiological and nuclear emergency response arrangements into the national all hazards emergency management system. A single all hazard response structure was used, leveraging the expertise of the Department of Radiation Protection (DRP) effectively for nuclear emergencies.

### **Observation**

Radiological and nuclear emergency response was strongly integrated within the national all-hazards emergency management system. The Administration Service Secours (ASS) had national call centre for all events. They were also official point of contact for France/Cattenom NPP and acted as National Warning Point for IAEA and ECURIE. Initiation and escalation of a nuclear emergency response followed the same steps and used the same processes as for other incidents and emergencies. DRP was engaged immediately and integrated itself as radiological risk advisory group to the national response system both at the ASS operations management centre, and also at the national crisis centre to support the Government "Cellule de Crise". The DRP's field work during emergency response was supported by professional and volunteer Civil Defence staff, which included storage, maintenance and prompt logistical deployment of specialized response equipment containers from the National Support Base.

# Bases

- (1) GSR Part 7 Requirement 1, para. 4.3 states that "The emergency management system shall be integrated, to the extent practicable, into an all hazards emergency management system (see paras 5.6 and 5.7)."
- (2) GSR Part 7 Requirement 6, para. 5.7 states that "Arrangements shall be made for the establishment and use of a clearly specified and unified command and control system for emergency response under the all-hazards approach as part of the emergency management system (see paras 4.1–4.3). The command and control system shall provide sufficient assurance for effective coordination of the on-site and off-site response. The

authority and responsibility for directing the emergency response and for making decisions on emergency response actions to be taken shall be clearly assigned. The responsibility for directing the emergency response and for decision making on emergency response actions to be taken shall be promptly discharged following a notification of an emergency."

## IAEA Comments/Highlights

Radiological and nuclear emergency response was strongly integrated within the national all-hazards emergency management system. Radiological incidents or emergencies were reported into the national all hazard Administration Service Secours (ASS) which operated the national emergency call centre. When assistance calls arrived involving radioactive material, or suspected radioactive material, the ASS procedures were to contact the DRP. The ASS then coordinated all national rescue and response services in support of the on-scene command of the incident and a radiological risk assessment group made of DRP staff would be located at the ASS operations centre. If required, DRP staff might be deployed to the scene to perform field work. In this case, DRP had a fully equipped response vehicle with detection and protective equipment. If additional human resources or equipment were necessary, the DRP had arrangements with the civil protection National Support Base, which stored, maintained and provided logistical support including several transport containers setup for radiological field response (detection and protection equipment, decontamination facilities, reception facilities).

# Luxembourg – Initial Mission

Mission Date: June 2018

#### Good Practice

When making protective action decisions during a nuclear emergency in a foreign country, the Government of Luxembourg default action was to implement the same protective actions as prescribed by the accident country for its residents. Coordinating response actions with another state in this manner was efficient and prevented unnecessary delays in implementing protective actions and enhanced public confidence by avoiding confusion or justification of differences in protective actions.

# Observation

The Operating Plan for radiological risk assessment, signed by the Minister of Health, specifically highlighted the need to harmonise response actions with the accident country, and align the national protective actions with the accident state whenever possible.

#### Bases

- (1) GSR Part 7 Requirement 22, para. 6.14 states that "Arrangements shall be made to coordinate with other States in the event of a transnational emergency any protective actions and other response actions that are recommended to their citizens and to their embassies in order either to ensure that they are consistent with those recommended in other States, or to provide an opportunity for them to explain to the public the basis for any differences (see para. 5.73)".
- (2) GSR Part 7 Requirement 7, para. 5.22 states that "Appropriate emergency response action s shall be initiated in a timely manner upon the receipt of a notification from another State or of information from the IAEA on a notification relating to an actual or potential transnational emergency that could have impacts on the State or its nationals".
- (3) GSR Part 7 Requirement 9, para 5.39 states that "Within the emergency planning zones and emergency planning distances, arrangements shall be made for taking appropriate protective actions and other response actions effectively... ... The arrangements shall be coordinated with all jurisdictions (including, to the extent practicable, jurisdictions beyond national borders, where relevant) within any emergency planning zone or distance."
- (4) GSR Part 7 Requirement 10, para 5.48 states that "Arrangements shall be made by response organizations in a State to promptly provide information and advice to its nationals and to those people with interests in other States in the event of a nuclear or radiological emergency declared beyond national borders, with due account taken of the response actions recommended in the State in which the emergency occurs as well as in the State(s) affected by that emergency (see paras 5.73 and 6.14)."

## IAEA Comments/Highlights

The Administration Service Secours (ASS) call centre was the official point of contact for France/Cattenom NPP and acted as national warning point for IAEA and ECURIE (European Community Urgent Radiological Information Exchange). Initiation and escalation of a full-scale nuclear emergency response followed the same steps and used the same processes as for other incidents and emergencies. The Department of Radiation Protection (DRP) was engaged immediately and integrated itself as the radiological risk advisory group in the national response system both at the ASS operations management centre, and at the national crisis centre to support the Government "Cellule de Crise", which was activated in accordance with the National Nuclear Response Plan.

The National Nuclear Emergency Plan was approved by the Government Council as well as multiple supporting Operational Plans signed by ministers and directors. These clearly described the preparedness and response activities to a nuclear emergency in a neighbouring nuclear country. Within the framework of the national plan, the role of the DRP as radiological advisor was clearly described and documented. The DRP acted within the national response structure as advisor to the "Cellule de Crise" headed by the Prime Minister. The DRP was in contact with the regulator and TSO of the accident state to gather information on the current and assumed future status of the accident and what protective actions were being taken by the

accident state. In accordance with the HERCA-WENRA approach, the DRP would advise the Government on protective actions required in Luxembourg, with the documented default approach being to harmonize protective actions with those in the accident state.

# <u>Germany – Initial Mission</u>

Mission Date: April 2019

### **Good Practice**

The Integrated Measurement and Information System (IMIS) in combination with the unique Radiological Situation Report formed a robust basis for a coordinated emergency response.

#### **Observation**

The relevant information for response to a radiological or nuclear emergency was collected in the Integrated Measurement and Information System (IMIS). The Federal Government and the Länder as well as the authorities responsible for disaster control or public safety had access to the information at all times via this system. In particular, the information included a unique Radiological Situation Report to be used by all organizations responsible to take actions in an emergency. This formed a robust basis for a coordinated emergency response.

## Basis

GSR Part 7 para. 6.13 states that "When several different organizations of the State or of other States are expected to have or to develop tools, procedures or criteria for use in the response to an emergency, arrangements for coordination shall be put in place to improve the consistency of the assessments of the situation, including assessments of contamination, doses and radiation induced health effects and any other relevant assessments made in a nuclear or radiological emergency, so as not to give rise to confusion."

## IAEA Comments/Highlights

The Federal Government and the Länder as and the authorities responsible for disaster control or public safety had access to the information at all times via the IMIS system. In particular, it contained in cases of regional or supra-regional emergencies a unique Radiological Situation Report to be used by all organizations responsible for taking actions in an emergency. This formed a solid basis for a coordinated response in a radiological or nuclear emergency.