

Safety design approach for JSFR featuring fuel material characteristics (Oxide and Metal Fuel)

Advanced Fast Reactor Cycle System R&D Center Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) Shigenobu KUBO



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Safety design approach of JSFR
- 3. Safety aspects of metal fuel
- 4. Safety design of JSFR
 - AOO & DBA
 - DEC for prevention & mitigation
- 5. Conclusion

Introduction



Background

•Feasibility study on commercialized fast reactor cycle systems (F/S) : 1999-2005

•Selected concept for FaCT; JSFR (SFR with MOX fuel core) + advanced aqueous reprocessing and simplified-pellet fuel fabrication

•SFR with metal fuel core + metal electrolysis reprocessing and injection casting fuel fabrication was selected as the alternative.

Focal point

•Safety design shall utilize the characteristics of materials such as oxide fuel and metal fuel.

•Feasibility of metal fuel for JSFR safety design approach is discussed in this presentation.

Safety design approach of JSFR



Comprehensive safety approach = Active engineered safety systems + Natural behavior (inherent or passive feature) to terminate SA + Accident Management



Safety aspects of metal fuel (1/2)



- Higher thermal conductivity
- Lower melting point
- Operated in lower temperature range
- Lower stored energy

		Oxide Fuel	Metal Fuel
Composition		UO ₂ -20% PuO ₂	U-15% Pu-10% Zr
Density	g/cm ³	10.6	15.8
Thermal Conductivity	W/cm/°C	0.023	0.22
Specific Heat	J/g/°C	0.38	0.20
Thermal Expansion Coefficient	/° C	1.2X10 ⁻⁵	2.0X10 ⁻⁵
Melting Point	°C	2750	1160

Ref: J. Cahalan, R. Wigeland, G. Friedel, G. Kussmaul, J. Moreau, M. Perks, P. Royl, "Performance of metal and oxide fuels during accidents in a large liquid metal cooled reactor", International Fast Reactor Safety Meeting, Snowbird, Utah, US, 1990



Safety aspects of metal fuel (2/2)



- Both of oxide fuel and metal fuel are operated far below the melting point.
- For metal fuel, fuel surface temperature is limited in order to prevent liquefaction at fuel-cladding tube interface.
- Eutectic reaction should be limited in the accidents.



<u>AOO & DBA</u>

- Inherent reactivity feedback characteristics with negative power coefficient.
- Operation temperature range: sufficiently below the coolant boiling temperature
- The safe reactor shutdown: 2 active reactor shutdown systems

DEC

- Passive shutdown capability : SASS (Self Actuated Shutdown System)
- Mitigation of core damage:
 - Prevention of severe mechanical energy release: limitation of core sodium void worth and molten fuel discharge capability
 - ✓ In-Vessel Retention: in-vessel core catcher

Evaluation of AOO & DBA (1/3)



Design options for this study

	Large Oxide Core (compact type)	Medium Oxide Core (high conversion type)	Large Metal Core (compact type)
Plant parameters			
Power output	1500MWe / 3570MWt	750MWe / 1785MWt	1500MWe / 3750MWt
Primary coolant temperature	550°C/395°C	550°C/395°C	505°C/350°C
DHRS	PRACS×2 + DRACS×1	PRACS×2+DRACS×1	IRACS×2 + DRACS×1
Fuel pin conditions			
Fuel pin diameter	8.8mm	10.4mm	8.5mm
Fissile length	800mm	1000mm	900mm
Maximum linear power	420W/cm	420W/cm	470W/cm
Maximum cladding temperature	700°C	700°C	650°C
Maximum fuel temperature	~2200°C	~2200°C	930°C
Reactivity coefficients (at EOEC)			
Doppler coefficient	-4.7E-3 Tdk/dT	-5.3E-3 Tdk/dT	-4.1E-3 Tdk/dT
Coolant temperature coefficient	5.2E-6 ⊿k/kk'/°C	5.1E-6 ⊿ k/kk'/°C	10.5E-6 ⊿k/kk'/°C

Evaluation of AOO & DBA (2/3)



Loss-of-flow type event; Primary pump stick

<u>Conditions</u>

- Scram signal: low primary pump speed (80%)
- Halving time of the primary flow rate : 5.5 seconds



5th Joint IAEA-GIF Technical Meeting/Workshop on Safety of SFR, 23-24 June 2015, IAEA, Vienna

Evaluation of AOO & DBA (3/3)



Decay heat removal (Loss of offsite power)

<u>Conditions</u>

Scram signal: Low voltage of station power supply (65%)



Passive shutdown capability for DEC



- Self Actuated Shutdown System (SASS) Curie point type passive CR insertion mechanism
 - SASS will be feasible both for oxide fuel and metal fuel.
 - Activation temperature should be adjusted according to the core outlet coolant temperature.

Mitigation of core damage for DEC



IVR concept for ULOF: Unprotected Loss of Flow (Oxide Fuel)



Fuel Failure Progression Differences





5th Joint IAEA-GIF Technical Meeting/Workshop on Safety of SFR, 23-24 June 2015, IAEA, Vienna

Mitigation measures for metal fuel core

- **IVR: In Vessel Retention**
- Initiating phase
 - Limit sodium void worth : less than 8\$ (cf. 6\$ for oxide)
- Transition phase
 - Molten fuel discharge; ABLE (Axial BLanket fuel Elimination) concept, which shortens the length of lower axial blanket (cf. FAIDUS for oxide)
- Post-accident material relocation and heat removal phase
 - In-vessel core catcher (Protection against eutectic reaction)

Molten fuel discharge to prevent prompt criticality



Concluding Remarks



- Safety design shall utilize the characteristics of materials such as oxide fuel and metal fuel.
 - Characteristics of metal fuel; higher thermal conductivity, lower melting point, lower stored energy, eutectic reaction
- Metal fuel is feasible for JSFR.
 - For AOO & DBA, active reactor shutdown and natural circulation decay heat removal
 - For DEC, passive reactor shutdown, IVR for mitigation of core damage